

## Joint Transportation Board - 8 March 2010

### Local Parking Plan – Phase 5

Location and Description	Extracts of Comments Received to Informal Consultation	Analysis and Recommendations
<b>EAST PECKHAM</b>		
<b>Addlestead/Snoll Hatch</b>	Letters of objection 11 Letters of support 6	
Request from Police.  Proposed protection of sharp 90 degree blind bend where there are parked vehicles on the footway and carriageway – significant problems for buses and other vehicles when meeting oncoming vehicles in the middle of the road	<p><b>1) Parish Council</b> have no objections but would like consideration given to reducing the proposal to just cover the corner and not extend further down Snoll Hatch Road</p> <p><b>2) Support.</b> Increased cars and vans block visibility and mean I cannot park outside my front gate. However, reduce the proposal to start after 108/110</p> <p><b>3) Objection.</b> Want a permit system so householders can park outside own home. Want the restriction to run only between 7am and 7pm. Buy the plot of land opp. 86 and create a car park for the residents or a nearby field</p> <p><b>4) Support</b> the proposals and imperative this is seen through but assist residents to use land for off-road car park – 2<sup>nd</sup> letter stating the situation if worsening, &amp; asking what progress is being make.</p> <p><b>5) Support.</b> Both use mobility scooters and pavement parking needs to be stopped</p> <p><b>6) Objection.</b> Parking you wish to curtail enhances safety as traffic calming and stops speeding vehicles cutting corners. The bus driver should exercise more care when confronted with parked cars and wait to allow oncoming vehicles to pass. Will</p>	<p>The problems with parking on the footway and around the apex of the bend throughout the day are persistent and although it is recognised there are limited off street parking opportunities the level of danger to others using the highway and footway has become unacceptable. The Police have tried to encourage a spirit of co-operation and compliance but the inconsiderate and obstructive parking continues even during the evenings and weekends. There may be merit in reducing the proposals, and taking a more incremental approach.</p> <p><b>Recommendation: The proposed restriction on the north side of Snoll Hatch Road is reduced to the boundary of 110/112. Continue with</b></p>

Location and Description	Extracts of Comments Received to Informal Consultation	Analysis and Recommendations
	<p>encourage HGV vehicles. Curtailing parking on the footway – the footway is useless and the one opposite runs all the way to the village – its not illegal to park on the footway. Transferred parking to in front of cottages is not acceptable and will block pedestrian access to my property where there is no kerb or footway. How will you protect my right of access onto the highway? The area is not public highway. Patrol with friendly warnings and not deface a conservation area with DYL. Do not process unless you can offer alternative</p> <p><b>7) Police Support</b> with no specific observations</p> <p><b>8) Objection.</b> Proposals are heavy handed and over the top. Parking may cause some inconvenience. Residents do not have own off-road parking, and will just transfer to other nearby roads. Suggest footway is converted to parking area. Proposals will not improve safety, aid public transport or improve traffic flows/ease congestion.</p> <p><b>9) Objection.</b> DYL not the solution. Instead impose weight restriction and enforce speed limit. If DYL imposed, there should be a reduction if Council Tax.</p> <p><b>10) Objection.</b> Proposals will transfer parking to locations beyond, making access to properties difficult.</p> <p><b>11) Objection.</b> No where else to park within reasonable walking distance.. No one uses footway o/s. No. 35, so convert it to parking bays. Convert road to a <i>one-way street</i>, to make more parking available.</p> <p><b>12) Support - qualified.</b> Sometimes impossible to get around the bend (because of parking) without pulling right across onto the other side of the road to see if anything is coming. Buses constantly struggle to get through. However, concerns about extent of proposals and where parking will transfer to.</p>	<p>the remainder of the corner protection proposal.</p>

Location and Description	Extracts of Comments Received to Informal Consultation	Analysis and Recommendations
	<p><b>13) Objection.</b> Proposals will force 16 + cars to transfer to outside other residents' properties. F/way at one location not used by pedestrians. Proposals will not solve problems for buses and HGVs. Buses no need to stop in this area. HGVs not suitable for this road. Removal of parked cars will increase speeds. Considerable inconvenience to all the residents as well as to neighbouring residents who live in roads where parking might transfer.</p> <p><b>14) Objection.</b> No alternative parking areas nearby. Make footway a parking area, reroute buses, ban HGVs and introduce traffic calming. Proposals would cause major misery for at least 23 vehicle owners.</p> <p><b>15) Objection (also via MP).</b> Will make life difficult for residents as there are no nearby parking areas. F/way not used – goes nowhere – there's alternative f/way on other side of road. Proposals will push problems elsewhere. Possible case for reduced restrictions.</p> <p><b>16) Objection.</b> Proposals will not improve safety or the traffic flow. Where will residents park? Traffic calming or making the road one way should be considered as alternatives.</p> <p><b>17) Objection.</b> If proposals go ahead, I would have to walk long distance in unlit street. There have been no accidents, and buses could use an alternative route. No reason to change existing arrangements.</p>	

Location and Description	Extracts of Comments Received to Informal Consultation	Analysis and Recommendations
<b>The Freehold/Orchard Road</b>	Letters of Objection 9 Letters of Support 4	
Resident request via Parish Council Proposed protection of sharp 90 degree bend where parked vehicles on footway and carriageway cause problems by obstructing through traffic	<p><b>1)</b> Parish Council has no objections</p> <p><b>2) Objection.</b> No off road parking and no other option cannot walk far so need to park outside house. There has never been a problem with obstruction to larger vehicles Proposals will prevent loading and unloading, cleaning car in road, Prevent visitors unloading children’s paraphernalia, food deliveries and removal vans as well as prevent breakdown repairs to car. Will increase speeds around bend</p> <p><b>3) Objection.</b> DYL will not improve safety – request speed bumps and one way traffic will allow for parking on corner. Red Roses Close park here causing the problems for residents</p> <p><b>4) Objection.</b> Will affect enjoyment of property as cannot park outside home load or unload car or transport equipment from car to house. Need to park on pavement as no where else. Negative impact on residents. No alternative provision made by Council</p> <p><b>5) Objection.</b> Cars parked here slow down traffic and increase safety. Transfer parking outside someone else’s house. Called an ambulance and not had a problem with access</p> <p><b>6) Support.</b> Long been awaited – emergency services have problems esp. on 2/11/09 recently when ambulance had to reverse as could not get through again</p> <p><b>7) Objection.</b> Traffic is not residents but newsagents or</p>	<p>Legitimate roadside parking opportunity is at a premium however the concerns about obstruction to emergency, essential service and delivery vehicles remain at this pinch point</p> <p><b>Recommendation: Continue with proposal as originally consulted on.</b></p>

Location and Description	Extracts of Comments Received to Informal Consultation	Analysis and Recommendations
	<p>Takeaway and no public services affected. Residents forced to park several streets away. Traffic speeds too fast and no pedestrian safety. Prefer to have 'slow down' marked on the road 20 mph restrictions and enforcement</p> <p><b>8) Police Support</b> with no specific observations</p> <p><b>9) Objection.</b> Proposals will not improve safety or improve traffic flows. In fact it will have opposite effect as it will increase traffic speeds.</p> <p><b>10) Objection.</b> Not a good idea as it will increase speeds. A one way system would solve the problems.</p> <p><b>11) Support – qualified.</b> It would be of benefit, but push the problem elsewhere. It would be better to restrict parking to one side. On one occasion an ambulance had to reverse down Orchard Road.</p> <p><b>12) Objection.</b> Proposals will affect my property. They will not improve safety or traffic flows. They will adversely affect people with disabilities. The emergency services have not difficulty in negotiating the roads.</p> <p><b>13) Objection.</b> We have a basic right being tax payers to have a parking area as residents. If proposals go ahead, then parking bays must be provided.</p>	

Location and Description	Extracts of Comments Received to Informal Consultation	Analysis and Recommendations
<b>Pound Road/Fell Mead</b>	Letters of objection 0 Letters of Support 4	
Request from Police on behalf of local resident Proposed upgrade of SYL to DYL around junction to prevent parking on the junction and wide footway	<p><b>1)</b> Parish Council have no objections</p> <p><b>2) Support</b> – initially as SYL is not a deterrent but will need enforcement. Parking on white line o/s post office door is more of a problem as drivers not using car park and block dropped kerbs rear of cars stick out into road. Need parking outside shop for deliveries only, Traffic Calming. Extend DYL o/s Methodist Church up to 3<sup>rd</sup> window and bus stop marked on the road</p> <p><b>3) Support.</b> But would object if there was a proposal to extend this further</p> <p><b>4)Police Support</b> with no specific observations</p>	<p><b>Recommendation:</b></p> <p><b>Continue with proposal as originally consulted on.</b></p> <p><b>Monitor the need to include additional restrictions to manage customers and deliveries.</b></p>
<b>WOULDHAM</b>		
<b>High Street/School Lane/Rectory Close</b>	Letters of Objection 4 Letters of Support 2	
Request from Parish Council Proposal for junction protection to allow for access to larger emergency and agricultural vehicles and promote easier access for the bus,	<p><b>1) Ward Cllr – Object</b> On the grounds will have terminal effect on B&amp;B. Parking at Wouldham Court needs to be addressed before anything else is considered</p> <p><b>2) Wouldham Parish Council - Object</b> Can see how parked vehicles are a problem but extending DYL are no help. If KCC moved the bollards up towards Rectory Close then no need for DYL</p> <p><b>3) Objection</b> to proposals for DYL to prevent parking on east side of road outside house and would like the DYL to be placed on west side of road as property is</p>	Representatives of the Parish Council called meeting to discuss the problems with obstruction of the bus and large farm vehicles and to advise of historic issues relating to the development of Wouldham Court with the installation of bollards to prevent parking on the build-out. There remains a continuing problem with restricted access for

Location and Description	Extracts of Comments Received to Informal Consultation	Analysis and Recommendations
<p>following site meeting with Parish Council</p>	<p>listed and is run as bed and breakfast business so no where for customers to park. Council should buy land behind Church and make car park for residents</p> <p><b>4) Support.</b> Would also like to see extended further to o/s 177 – 181 or bollards</p> <p><b>5) Objection</b> - Public service vehicles and farm vehicles were ignored when Wouldham Court was built and this proposal is because local opinion at the time was ignored. There is land given for parking that has not been developed Footway between rectory Close and the Church serves no purpose and is rarely used. Remove path and restore road to original width negating DYL on both sides of the road</p> <p><b>6) Police Support</b> with no specific observations</p>	<p>larger vehicles including the regular bus service when cars are parked on both sides of this crossroads. This includes negotiating the junctions of School Lane or Rectory Close with vehicles parked too close to the junction</p> <p>KCC confirm they are to adjust the bollards preventing the full use of the over run area Therefore the extended corner protection from School Lane should be abandoned but the remaining corner protection should go forward</p> <p><b>Recommendation:</b> That the proposed parking on the east side of the road is deferred and additional monitoring is carried out to determine the extent of the obstruction by parked vehicles. Continue with the remainder of the proposal to protect public transport access on main road and improve safety at the junction with Rectory Close.</p>

Location and Description	Extracts of Comments Received to Informal Consultation	Analysis and Recommendations
<b>Cornwall Crescent</b>	Letters of Objection 1 Letters of Support 1	
Request from Resident and Refuse Collection Contractor Proposed extended corner protection to prevent obstruction for larger vehicles into cul de sac and over run on to verge and footways	<p><b>1) Wouldham Parish Council.</b> Better to remove verge and create passing bay – DYL will not be observed</p> <p><b>2) Police Support</b> with no specific observations</p>	<p>Comments passed to KCC with request for passing bay to be constructed on the highway verge.</p> <p><b>Recommendation: Defer proposals pending response from KCC</b></p>
<b>High Street/Knowle Road</b>	Letters of objection 1 Letters of support 1	
Request from Public Transport Operator and Residents via MP Proposal to extend DYL by 1 car length on the east side to allow for bus and larger vehicles to negotiate sharp 90 degree bend and avoid continuing damage to walls of properties on the east side	<p><b>1) Wouldham Parish Council.</b> Suggest there is not a problem with buses causing damage to walls due to parked cars to the extent that need DYL</p> <p><b>2) Police Support</b> with no specific observations</p> <p><b>3) Support.</b> Enc. Photo of bus stuck for over an hour (23.11.09 – 8.20pm). Owners of parked cars had to be found in order to move.</p>	<p>There are 4 letters on file relating to 5 specific different incidents of damage to the front walls of Nos. 9 and 11. The size of the vehicle parked at the end of the bay opposite and the speed of the vehicle does influence the degree of difficulty drivers have in driving round this bend.</p> <p><b>Recommendation: Continue with proposal as originally consulted on.</b></p>



Location and Description	Extracts of Comments Received to Informal Consultation	Analysis and Recommendations
<b>High Street Nos 27 – 31</b>	Letters of objection 1 Letters of support 2	
Request from Residents Proposal to prevent footway parking in front of houses which causes obstruction to access and through traffic	<b>Wouldham Parish Council.</b> Need to put in bollards to prevent pavement parking so no need for DYL  <b>2)Police Support</b> with no specific observations  <b>3) Support.</b> Hurry up with DYL and bollards!	KCC confirm they no longer intend to install bollards on the footway to prevent parking blocking the front gates and rear access to the cottages. Therefore, DYL are the only option left to prevent obstruction of the footway and highway.  <b>Recommendation: In the light of support of the local residents, and in the interests of highway safety, continue with the proposal as consulted on.</b>
<b>HILDENBOROUGH</b>		
<b>Mount Pleasant Area</b>	Letters of objection 16 plus a petition with 100 signatures from 80 properties Letters of support 1	
Request from Police Proposed junction protection on and around junctions in the area where roads are narrow and here there are access	<b>1) Objection.</b> Cars go wrong way down one way street; poor road markings and overgrown hedges Denying residents right to park outside property Better to use strip of green to create car park for residents Can see sense in protecting junctions but not at expense of residents parking  <b>2) Objection.</b> No need as traffic is already slow	The range of traffic and transportation difficulties raised by this proposal suggests that there are extensive moving traffic problems that need to be addressed in advance of any parking management proposals. Notwithstanding the parking difficulties,

Location and Description	Extracts of Comments Received to Informal Consultation	Analysis and Recommendations
<p>problems for larger vehicles</p>	<p>There have been no accidents to support DYL. HGVs deliver without any problem Residents tolerate parking. DYL are only proposed to raise Council revenue</p> <p><b>3) Objection.</b> If you ban parking on junctions other drivers will use it as a rat run. Employees of Volvo park here so we need residents parking only</p> <p><b>4) Objection.</b> There's not a problem and all residents should be consulted</p> <p><b>5) Objection.</b> Not major routes leading anywhere in particular not great volume of traffic using junctions so congestion not a problem. Losing parking spaces for a number of residents aggravates all concerned. There have been no accidents speeds are slow – these proposals are unnecessary. Conservation area deface the area and character of the place</p> <p><b>7) Police Support</b> with no specific observations</p> <p><b>8) Parish Council.</b>  Church Road/Mount Pleasant, no objection.  Riding Lane/Church Road, no objection.  Half Moon Lane/Mount Pleasant – no objection outside No. 22, but object to opposite corner being restricted.  Mount Pleasant Court/ Mount Pleasant – no objection.  Mount Pleasant/Riding Lane – no objection.  Knowsley Way/Mount Pleasant – objection.</p> <p><b>9) Objection.</b> (Also sent via MP.) DYL not fair, and not justified by criteria. DYL will destroy conservation area. No problems for residents at the moment. Negative impact on value of properties. Council will get rid of all parking. Where will residents park?</p> <p><b>10) Objection.</b> Parking is already a problem in Church Road, and these proposals</p>	<p>the response to the informal consultation suggests that the local community is content to tolerate them to avoid reducing parking opportunities, no matter how unacceptable these would otherwise be in highway safety terms.</p> <p><b>Recommendation: Take no action to introduce parking management measures unless and until there is a significant change in local sentiment in favour of doing so.</b></p>

Location and Description	Extracts of Comments Received to Informal Consultation	Analysis and Recommendations
	<p>will make it worse. Suggestions that parking permits and a one way system be introduced.</p> <p><b>11) Objection.</b> Not justified by criteria. There are not enough spaces at the moment, without taking any more away. Suggestions: one-way system; removal of footway by village green; creation of parking area on green in front of Russet Homes; residents' parking permit scheme.</p> <p><b>12) Objection.</b> No need as there are no accidents – only the odd scratch or broken mirror. The narrowness of road works to slow down traffic. There are temporary problems at moment because of development workers (working nearby) are parking here. Terraced housing doesn't have off street parking facilities.</p> <p><b>13) Objection.</b> (via MP) It's difficult to park already. The addition of another group of houses will make matters worse. There's no manoeuvring difficulty, except road is very narrow where there should be no through traffic.</p> <p><b>14) Objection.</b> (via MP) It will have a detrimental effect on me and others in the vicinity. When I arrive home the only place to park is on the corner. As a lone female, I need to park near my home.</p> <p><b>15) Objection.</b> (via MP) An acute parking problem and proposals would create pandemonium. DYL are ugly and not 'in keeping' and they would devalue properties.</p> <p><b>16) Objection</b> (with petition &amp; via MP). Just enough parking places for the 47 properties. Nearby businesses, including Volvo, use the area. Proposals would make life difficult or OAPs and mothers with children. Proposals would merely transfer parking problems to neighbouring areas. Parked cars contribute to traffic calming. Refuse lorries have no problem, so there</p>	

Location and Description	Extracts of Comments Received to Informal Consultation	Analysis and Recommendations
	<p>won't be any problems for emergency services. DYL not compatible with a conservation area. A one-way system in Church Road would help.</p> <p><b>17) Objection.</b> DYL not suitable in the area, and not justified by criteria.</p>	
<b>Stocks Green Road/Leigh Road Junction</b>	<p>Letters of objection 3 Letters of support 5</p>	
<p>Request from Police School Traffic around primary school needs to be managed into blocks to prevent obstruction around junctions and for through traffic</p>	<p><b>1) Support.</b> Agree and extend to ban parking opposite junction with Leigh Road</p> <p><b>2) Objection.</b> Where will blue badge holders park. Will move traffic away from house and junction. Bring back the school bus and ban lorries with a 15 mph speed limit</p> <p><b>3) Support.</b> Restrictions should be term time only. Change the restrictions times to just start and end of school day. Make the road one way only</p> <p><b>4) Support.</b> Replace Give way with Halt sign at junction. Concerns about transfer of 8 vehicles to further along the road. Do not support the SYL proposals would prefer 15 minutes waiting 8 -9 and 3 – 4 preferably in a purpose built lay by</p> <p><b>5) Support.</b> Take into consideration residents needs and traffic growth</p> <p><b>6) Parish Council.</b> No objections to DYL, but object to SYL – no reason given.</p> <p><b>7) Police Support</b> with no specific observations</p> <p><b>8) Objection.</b> Support DYL at junction, but other proposals would penalise residents (not parents who would continue to park to drop off their children).</p>	<p><b>Recommendation: amend the original proposal by introducing a single yellow line to operate over a reduced period (e.g. 8.00am – 9.30pm and 3.00pm – 4.00pm) period to focus on the peak period of the school related congestion.</b></p>

Location and Description	Extracts of Comments Received to Informal Consultation	Analysis and Recommendations
	<p><b>9) Objection.</b> Support DYL at junction, but DYL elsewhere would prevent me parking outside my property, which has no off-street parking. There should be 'residents parking at any time' plus restricted parking during school term time only. Should consider introducing traffic calming to reduce speeds.</p> <p><b>10) Comment.</b> DYL are likely to push parking to other locations. Should take more holistic approach and consider speed limits, traffic calming, school travel plans and other road improvements.</p>	
<b>Lower Street</b>	<p>Letters of objection 5 Letters of support 4</p>	
<p>Request from Residents Increased commuter parking on verges and highway up to 40 vehicles causing congestion and obstruction</p>	<p><b>1) Objection.</b> Lower Street is devoid of traffic throughout the day and few houses so parking is not a problem. Not enough spaces at Hildenborough Station and extra parking at Golf Club is expensive and oversubscribed Where are commuters supposed to park - no bus service to station from Speldhurst or Bidborough</p> <p><b>2) Objection.</b> What rules apply from Highway Code. There's no congestion. Rail station car park is too expensive. No room to park in Philpot Lane or Noble Tree Road</p> <p><b>3) Objection.</b> There is no great safety risk from parking here. I will not use public transport. There is no congestion on this road no queues or accidents. This will cause me personal expense and distress</p> <p><b>4) Comment.</b> Traffic and Parking Impact analysis – this does not tackle the cause of the problem and is partial incomplete solution too limited in scope, ineffectual, ill conceived and unsatisfactory. Would like to see on all the road, minimum 200 m from west side of Bridge past the blind bend</p>	<p>Although there is pressure to accommodate commuter parking in this area, restrictions are necessary on narrow country lanes to promote access and sustain safety. There is legitimate parking opportunity in this section of road but it needs to be managed into shorter sections with room for passing places to avoid obstruction to other road users and through traffic</p> <p>Some adjustments to the proposed length of passing areas may be necessary, and close monitoring of any transfer parking to less suitable sites.</p> <p><b>Recommendation: Continue with proposal as originally consulted on.</b></p>

Location and Description	Extracts of Comments Received to Informal Consultation	Analysis and Recommendations
	<p><b>5) Objection.</b> The street is quiet and wide enough for parking. Cannot afford the daily cost of station charges</p> <p><b>6) Police Support</b> with no specific observations</p> <p><b>7) Parish Council</b> do not think any stated reasons apply to this area, and it should be free of restrictions.</p> <p><b>8) Objection.</b> Will make life very difficult for the commuter. With inflation busting fare increases, car park changes and reduced fares, commuters have to park on the highway to reduce ever rising costs. DYL will only push parking to other locations.</p> <p><b>9) Support.</b> Proposals will still permit commuter parking but will provide 'passing places'. However, some concerns that DYL do not extend far enough.</p> <p><b>10) Support.</b> But consider adjusting location of 'passing bay' to protect field entrance and sight lines on bend.</p> <p><b>11) Support.</b> But proposal is too extensive and allows for hardly any parking at all.</p>	<p><b>but with adjustment to protect additional section of bend west of the A21 overbridge.</b></p>
<p><b>Brookmead/ Greenview /Meadway</b></p>	<p>Letters of objection 0 Letters of support 1</p>	
<p>Request from Police and former County Councillor Proposed junction protection measures</p>	<p><b>1) Police Support</b> with no specific observations <b>2) Parish Council.</b> No objection.</p>	<p><b>Recommendation: Continue with proposal as originally consulted on.</b></p>

Location and Description	Extracts of Comments Received to Informal Consultation	Analysis and Recommendations
<b>Foxbush</b>	Letters of objection 0 Letters of support 1	
Request from Police Proposed junction protection measures	<p><b>1) Police Support</b> with no specific observations</p> <p><b>2) Parish Council.</b> Area in front Church Hall used for dropping off disabled and elderly. PC is happy for DYL on corner and opposite Church Hall.</p>	<p>Exemptions for dropping off and picking up passengers built into all waiting restrictions – nationally.</p> <p><b>Recommendation: Continue with proposal as originally consulted on.</b></p>
<b>HADLOW</b>		
<b>A26 High Street</b>	Letters of objection 0 Letters of support 1	
Request from Parish Council Proposal to include short section of corner protection at junction with access to car park and school	<p><b>1) Police Support</b> with no specific observations</p> <p><b>2) Parish Council.</b> No comments received</p>	<p><b>Recommendation: Continue with proposal as originally consulted on.</b></p>
<b>TONBRIDGE</b>		
<b>Royal Rise</b>	Letters of objection 1 Letters of support 11	
Request letter from	<b>1) Support.</b> Hill View School supports the proposal to clear obstructive parking on	<b>Recommendation: Continue with</b>

Location and Description	Extracts of Comments Received to Informal Consultation	Analysis and Recommendations
<p>22 of the 24 Residents School related parking throughout the day causing obstruction for residents and deliveries</p>	<p>this approach road for deliveries to the schools</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>2) <b>Support</b> from resident</li> <li>3) <b>Support</b> from resident</li> <li>4) <b>Support</b> from resident</li> <li>5) <b>Support</b> from resident</li> <li>6) <b>Support</b> from resident</li> <li>7) <b>Police Support</b> with no specific observations</li> <li>8) <b>Support</b> from resident.</li> <li>9) <b>Support</b> from resident.</li> <li>10) <b>Support</b> from resident.</li> <li>11) <b>Support</b> from resident</li> <li>12) <b>Objection.</b> Many concerns that proposals will adversely affect particular property.</li> </ul>	<p><b>proposal as originally consulted on with a slightly extended double yellow line past the bend outside No. 23. to assist access to Nos. 3 – 7.</b></p>



Location and Description	Extracts of Comments Received to Informal Consultation	Analysis and Recommendations
<b>Croft Close/Estridge Way</b>	Letters of objection 2 Letters of support 1	
Request from resident Proposal for corner protection	<p><b>1) Objection.</b> Have lived here for 17 years and there is not a persistent problem with parking on or around this junction – but there is further along the road on a bend</p> <p><b>2) Police Support</b> with no specific observations</p> <p><b>3) Objection.</b> Unable to understand where the concerns come from. No one parks at this location, and not aware of any incidents, so DYL are not necessary.</p>	<b>Recommendation: Defer action to undertake further monitoring. Insufficient evidence of a persistent problem.</b>
<b>Mountfield Park/Kings Road</b>	Letters of objection 0 Letters of support 3	
Request from residents Proposal for corner protection to prevent obstruction to junction when drivers park too close to the junction	<p><b>1) Support.</b> Most welcome. Kings Road is very busy so can some thought be given to improve safety for traffic and pedestrians as schools nearby</p> <p><b>2) Police Support</b> with no specific observations</p> <p><b>3) Support.</b> Existing parking causes dangerous obstruction, interfering with traffic flows and sight lines. 4 schools in close proximity so there many children use the route. Also a bus route and buses often have trouble negotiating parked vehicles. At the moment drivers have to drive 'blind' and it is only a matter of time before there is an accident.</p>	<b>Recommendation: Continue with proposal as originally consulted on.</b>

Location and Description	Extracts of Comments Received to Informal Consultation	Analysis and Recommendations
<b>Derwent Road and The Chase</b>	Letters of objection 2 Letters of support 1	
Request from Police Proposals to manage parked cars on and around the bend and junction which continue to create danger and compromise sight lines		There is a persistent problem of hazardous parking on the junction.  <b>Recommendation: Continue with proposal as originally consulted on.</b>
<b>Audley Avenue and Alders Meadow</b>	Letters of objection 1 Letters of support 1	
Request from Barden Park Neighbourhood Watch and Community Association and PACT Meetings with supported request from Ward Councillors Proposals to prevent obstruction by parking on both sides of road between St Ives	<p><b>1) Police Support</b> with no specific observations</p> <p><b>2) Objection.</b> Extending DYL will mean that they will have absolutely nowhere to park. Parking is already a problem for residents.</p> <p><b>3) Support.</b> PACT meeting and Barden Park Neighbourhood Watch who initiated investigation.</p>	<b>Recommendation: Continue with proposal as originally consulted on.</b>

Location and Description	Extracts of Comments Received to Informal Consultation	Analysis and Recommendations
Cambridge Road and junction/bend of Alders Meadow with park entrance		
<b>River Walk</b>	Letters of Objection 0 Letters of support 1	
Request arising from change of designation of area at the junction of River Walk and the High Street. Designation of parking places and additional Disabled Persons Parking Bay	<b>1) Police Support</b> with no specific observations	<b>Recommendation: Continue with proposal as originally consulted on. to formalise existing parking arrangements.</b>
<b>Haysden Country Park</b>	Letters of objection 0 Letters of support 1	
Request from Leisure Services manager and support from ward Councillors Proposals to protect inconsiderate and dangerous parking on and around main entrance to park	<b>1) Police Support</b> with no specific observations	<b>Recommendation: Continue with proposal as originally consulted on.</b>

Location and Description	Extracts of Comments Received to Informal Consultation	Analysis and Recommendations
<b>Upper Haysden Lane</b>	Letters of objection 0 Letters of support 1	
Request from Ward Councillors Proposals to manage parking areas and extend parking restrictions around junctions and grass triangle to assist drop off points for link bus to WK College	<b>1) Police Support</b> with no specific observations	<b>Recommendation: Continue with proposal as originally consulted on.</b>
<b>DITTON</b>		
<b>Fernleigh Rise</b>	Letters of objection 0 Letters of support 5	
Request from Police and KCC Highways Proposed corner protection junction of Bell Lane  Note: JTB decision at its meeting on 9 March 2009 to take no further action on a	<b>1) Comment.</b> Conflicting report in <i>Downs Mail</i> to say something must be done to remove the problem with parked cars <b>2) Police Support</b> with no specific observations <b>3) Parish Council.</b> No comments at this stage. <b>4) Support.</b> But some concerns about where parking will transfer to. Difficulty getting in and out of driveway. Parking has devalued properties and drivers leave litter behind.	<b>Recommendation: Continue with proposal as originally consulted on.</b>

Location and Description	Extracts of Comments Received to Informal Consultation	Analysis and Recommendations
proposal to install bollards on the verges	<b>5) Qualified Support.</b> But proposals will merely transfer problems. There is a need for bollards, hard standing for parking and one way traffic system.	
<b>AYLESFORD</b>		
<b>Buckmore Park Access Road M2 Roundabout</b>	Letters of objection 0 Letters of support 2	
Request from Management team at Buckmore Park Go cart Circuit and local resident/Parish Councillor Increased commuter parking – junction protection	<p><b>1) Aylesford Parish Council Qualified Support.</b> Concerns that proposals for junction protection will serve to transfer along path to recreation ground. Would like the DYL extended along track to recreation ground</p> <p><b>2) Comment.</b> Would like the DYL extended onto cycle track and bollards on the verge</p> <p><b>3) Police Support</b> with no specific observations</p>	<p>The access road to the recreation ground is not part of the public highway. The request for bollards on the verge has been forwarded to PRow officer at KCC</p> <p><b>Recommendation: Continue with proposal as originally consulted on.</b></p>
<b>Admiral Moore Drive/McKenzie Drive</b>	Letters of objection 0 Letters of support 2	

Location and Description	Extracts of Comments Received to Informal Consultation	Analysis and Recommendations
Request from Local Cllrs, Community Warden/RBLV reps Proposals to keep access to Aylesford Medical Centre clear.	<p><b>1) Aylesford Parish Council Supports</b> the proposals for Admiral Moore Drive</p> <p><b>2) Police Support</b> with no specific observations</p>	<b>Recommendation. Continue with proposal as originally consulted on.</b>
<b>BURHAM Church Street</b>	<p>Letters of objection Baker St junction 0 Letters of support Baker St junction 4</p> <p>Letters of objection Golden Eagle car parks 3 Letters of support Golden Eagle car park 1</p>	
Requests resulting from full individual consultation with all residents 2009 Extended consultation carried out earlier this year resulted in a series of requests for access and corner protection. These proposals were circulated to all residents	<p><b>1) Police Support</b> with no specific observations</p> <p><b>2) Parish Council. Qualified Support.</b> Support corner protection at Baker St and Church St. But only support reduced DYL, a specific stated length on one side of the road, at the Golden Eagle car parks.</p> <p><b>3 &amp; 4) Ward Cllrs. Qualified Support.</b> Proposal for corner protection at Baker St/Church St supported. But they consider other restrictions too extensive and that DYL should only protect car park entrances.</p>	<p>The residents identified where additional waiting restrictions were necessary. This highlighted the need to manage parking at the Baker Street junction especially as a fire appliance was not able to gain access to a house fire. They also indicated that parking further along the road at the entrance to the communal access and the car parks was a problem. The road is not wide enough to accommodate parked vehicles without obstructing the accesses or eroding the verges.</p> <p><b>Recommendation: Continue with proposal as originally consulted on.</b></p>

Location and Description	Extracts of Comments Received to Informal Consultation	Analysis and Recommendations
<b>EAST MALLING &amp; LARKFIELD</b>		
<b>Beech Road</b>	Letters of objection 3 Letters of support 3	
Request from Police Double yellow line proposed around short section of cul-de-sac to assist with emergency access, deliveries, and safe access to Malling School from Beech Road.	<p><b>1) Objection</b> - Agree persistent parking opposite the school entrance causes problems but the owners of the vehicles should be dealt with first. Russet Homes have stopped private homeowners parking on their forecourt and renting garages</p> <p><b>2) Russet Homes – Support and comment</b> There is no restriction on who can park on the 4 spaces in front of the garage block. Homeowners and tenants can apply to rent a garage if one becomes available but there is a waiting list</p> <p><b>3) EM&amp;L Parish Council.</b> Support proposals and look forward to being implemented</p> <p><b>4) Ward Member Comment</b> – concerns over where drivers will find alternative place to park</p> <p><b>5) Police Support</b> with no specific observations</p> <p><b>6 &amp; 7) Objections.</b> Focused on the continuing dispute between residents. Citing vandalism, lack of enforcement.</p>	<p>Parking opposite the school exit gate, around the turning head or up to the junction creates obstruction and danger in an area where there is high pedestrian and vehicular usage</p> <p>There are no legitimate parking opportunities in this short section of Beech Road. If a car is parked there, it blocks the road and stops parents from safely using the two gates as an in and out arrangement.</p> <p><b>Recommendation: Continue with proposal as originally consulted on.</b></p>
<b>Swallow Road/Kingfisher Road/Chapman Way</b>  Request from Parish	<p><b>1) Police Support</b> with no specific observations.</p> <p><b>2) Parish Council Support.</b> Look forward to implementation.</p>	<b>Recommendation: Continue with proposal as originally consulted on.</b>

<b>Location and Description</b>	<b>Extracts of Comments Received to Informal Consultation</b>	<b>Analysis and Recommendations</b>
Council and Public Transport Operators Formalise bus stops and close gap in junction protection Swallow Road		